



2001 SEP 18 P 4: 18

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION POLICES VOLUME

2 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL 3 **CHAIRMAN** JIM IRVIN 4

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL **COMMISSIONER** MARC SPITZER

6

5

1

7 IN THE MATTER OF US WEST COMMUNI-8 CATIONS, INC.'S COMPLIANCE WITH

COMMISSIONER

§ 271 OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ÅCT OF 1996

Docket No. T-00000A-97-238

10

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

COX ARIZONA TELCOM, L.L.C.'S POST-WORKSHOP BRIEF ON **PUBLIC INTEREST**

Cox Arizona Telcom, L.L.C. ("Cox") adopts its Comments on Public Interest, filed May 17, 2001, as its post-workshop brief on the Public Interest issue. A copy of those comments is attached.

Dated: September 18, 2001.

COX ARIZONA TELCOM. L.L.C.

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

SEP 1 8 2001

DOCKETED BY

By:

Michael W. Patten ROSHKA HEYMAN & DEWULF, PLC

One Arizona Center

400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

(602) 256-6100

1	ORIGINAL and TEN (10) COPIES filed September 18, 2001, with:
2	Docket Control
3	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
4	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
5	Thousand of our
6	COPIES hand-delivered September 18, 2001, to:
7	Lyn A. Farmer, Esq.
8	Chief Administrative Law Judge Hearing Division
9	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street
10	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
11	Maureen Scott, Esq.
12	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
13	1200 West Washington Street
14	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
15	Mark DiNunzio Utilities Division
16	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
17	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
18	Matt Rowell
19	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
20	1200 West Washington Street
21	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
22	COPIES mailed September 18, 2001, to:
23	Richard S. Wolters, Esq.
24	AT&T COMMUNICATIONS, INC. OF THE MOUNTAIN STATES 1875 Lawrence Street, Room 1575
25	Denver, Colorado 80202
26	

1	Joan S. Burke, Esq. OSBORN & MALEDON
2	2929 North Central Avenue, Suite 2100
	Post Office Box 36379
3	Phoenix, Arizona 85067-6379 Counsel for AT&T Communications of the Mountain States;
4	and TCG Phoenix
5	Andrea P. Harris
6	ALLEGIANCE TELECOM, INC.
7	2101 Webster, Suite 1580 Oakland, California 94612
8	Diane Bacon
9	Legislative Director COMMUNICATIONS WORKERS OF AMERICA
10	5818 North 7th Street, Suite 206
	Phoenix, Arizona 85014-5811
11	K. Megan Doberneck, Esq.
12	COVAD COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
13	7901 Lowry Boulevard
	Denver, Colorado 82030
14	Nigel Bates
15	ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE, INC.
16	4400 N.E. 77th Avenue
	Vancouver, Washington 98662
17	Karen L. Clauson
18	ESCHELON TELECOM, INC.
19	730 2nd Avenue South, Suite 120 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
	• •
20	Michael M. Grant, Esq.
21	Todd C. Wiley, Esq. GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P.A.
22	2575 East Camelback Road
23	Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225
	Counsel for Electric Lightwave, Inc.
24	Mark N. Rogers
25	EXCELL AGENT SERVICES, L.L.C. 2175 West 14th Street
26	Tempe, Arizona 85281
	* ′

1	Gena Doyscher
_	GLOBAL CROSSING LOCAL SERVICES, INC.
2	1221 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, Minnesota 55403-2420
3	-
4	Thomas F. Dixon MCI WORLDCOM, INC.
-	707 17th Street, Suite 3900
5	Denver, Colorado 80202
6	Thomas H. Campbell, Esq.
7	LEWIS & ROCA L.L.P.
	40 North Central Avenue
8	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
9	Counsel for MCI WorldCom, Inc.; and
İ	Rhythms Links fka ACI Corp.
10	Daniel Waggoner, Esq.
11	Davis Wright Tremaine
	2600 Century Square
12	1501 Fourth Avenue
13	Seattle, Washington 98101-1688
13	Counsel for NEXTLINK Arizona, Inc.
14	Develor II Heiga Faa
15	Douglas H. Hsiao, Esq. RHYTHMS LINKS INC.
12	6933 South Revere Parkway
16	Englewood, Colorado 80112
	Counsel for Rhythms Links fka ACI Corp.
17	1
18	Scott Wakefield, Esq.
	RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE
19	2828 North Central Avenue, Suite 1200
20	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
21	Stephen H. Kukta, Esq.
2 -	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS CO., L.P.
22	8150 Gateway Drive, 7th Floor
23	San Mateo, California 94404-2737
23	Andrew O. Isar
24	Director, Industry Relations
0.5	TELECOMMUNICATIONS RESELLERS ASSOCIATION
25	4312 92nd Avenue, N.W.
26	Gig Harbor, Washington 98335

	Charles Steese Fsa	
1	Charles Steese, Esq. QWEST CORPORATION	
2	1801 California Street, Suite 5100 Denver, Colorado 80202	
3	Timothy Berg, Esq.	
4	FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.	
5	3033 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913	
6	Counsel for Qwest Corporation	
7	Mark P. Trinchero, Esq.	
8	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE L.L.P. 1300 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 2300	
9	Portland, Oregon 97201	
10	M. Andrew Andrade 5261 South Quebec Street, Suite 150	
11	Greenwood Village, Colorado 80111	
12	Counsel to TESS Communications, Inc.	
13	Joyce Hundley, Esq. Antitrust Division	
14	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 1401 H Street, N.W., Suite 8000	
15	Washington, D.C. 20530	
16		
17	Kenni Clohmit	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

RECEIVED

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2001 MAY 17 P 4: 26

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL CHAIRMAN

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

JIM IRVIN

COMMISSIONER

MARC SPITZER

ACT OF 1996

5

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

COMMISSIONER

IN THE MATTER OF US WEST COMMUNI-

CATIONS, INC.'S COMPLIANCE WITH

§ 271 OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS

Docket No. T-00000A-97-238

COX ARIZONA TELCOM, L.L.C.'S COMMENTS ON PUBLIC INTEREST

Cox Arizona Telcom, L.L.C. ("Cox") submits these comments on the Public Interest requirement in this docket. FCC views

"the public interest requirement as an opportunity to review the circumstances presented by the application to ensure that no other relevant factors exist that would frustrate the congressional intent that markets be open, as required by the competitive checklist, and that entry will therefore serve the public interest as Congress expected. Among other things, we may review the local and long distance markets to ensure that there are not unusual circumstances that would make entry contrary to the public interest under the particular circumstances of this application. Another factor that could be relevant to our analysis is whether we have sufficient assurance that markets will remain open after grant of the application. While no one factor is dispositive in this analysis, our overriding goal is to ensure that nothing undermines our conclusion, based on our analysis of checklist compliance, that this market is open to competition."

In the Matter of the Application of Verizon New England, Inc., et al. for Authorization to Provide In-Region InterLATA Services in Massachusetts, Memorandum Opinion and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Order, FCC 01-130, CC Docket NO. 01-9 (April 16, 2001), ¶ 233 (citations omitted). As Owest's own numbers attest, the CLEC penetration into the Arizona telecommunications markets is still minimal. According to Qwest, CLECs have only 6.9% of all access lines in the Owest service areas, including only 1.8% of all residential lines. [Teitzel Ex. DLT-2C] These minimal numbers indicate that any competition, particularly in the residential market, is tenuous and will be sensitive to any anticompetitive pressure. To the extent inappropriate anticompetitive elements exist in Arizona those elements should be eliminated to ensure that markets will remain open to competition.

Owest's existing "Competitive Response Program" tariff (Section 5.2 of Qwest's Competitive Exchange and Network Services Tariff – a copy is attached as Exhibit A) presents a factor that seriously jeopardizes whether the Arizona telecommunications market, particularly the residential market, will remain open to effective competition. Indeed, this "WinBack Tariff" is expressly designed to recapture market share through predatory pricing. The ability of Qwest to recapture the 1.8% of residential market share through significant price breaks and waivers of costs is wholly contradictory to ensuring that the market will remain open to competition. As long as the WinBack Tariff is in effect, the public interest is not served by granting Qwest's 271 application.

Owest's WinBack Tariff is focused at one class of potential customers - those customers "who have left [Owest] for another telecommunications provider" [Tariff, Sec. 5.2.11.A.1] or "who have terminated or cancelled all or part of their [Qwest] services and established service with another telecommunications provider" [Tariff, Sec. 5.2.11.B.1]. For customers who return to Qwest from a competing provider, they are treated to a variety of free services. Under the tariff, residential customers can receive:

- 1. a waiver of nonrecurring charges and up to two months of recurring charges. The waiver of those charges can be up to \$100 per customer location; and
- 2. a waiver of intraLATA MTS (Message Telecommunication

Service) charges up to \$50.00 per customer per year.

Business customers can receive:

- 1. a waiver of the current nonrecurring charges and up to two months of the current monthly rates;
- 2. a waiver of intraLATA MTS charges.

Thus, Qwest can offer significant free service for the most basic of its service – 1FR (residential) and 1FB (business) – as incentive to recapture market share. Given Qwest's enormous market share – particularly for residential – Qwest does not need the WinBack tariff to be competitive in the market. It only needs the WinBack tariff to be anticompetitive – that is, to target the minute percentage of customers who have left Qwest. By recapturing those customers, Qwest clearly has the ability to stymie what little competition there is in Arizona.

If there is any doubt that Qwest will use the WinBack Tariff aggressively, attached as *Exhibit B* is a mailer that Qwest sent to former customers in April 2001. In the mailer, Qwest raises CLEC service performance as a reason to return for service. That CLEC performance, unfortunately, often is dependent on Qwest's wholesale performance for the CLEC. A typical CLEC customer certainly may not understand a CLEC's dependency on Qwest to provide service – from the initial port on, including such things as trunk blockage that interfere with good service. For example, as Cox has explained in other workshops, Cox has experienced numerous problems with Qwest over the porting process. When Qwest rescinds an FOC, Cox is often blamed for the delays in the porting schedule. When Qwest prematurely disconnects a customer that wants to port to Cox, Cox's reputation

¹ Cox questions how Qwest developed the mailing list for such a mailing. Obviously, Qwest has the addresses of every Qwest customer that has ported its number from Qwest to another CLEC. How that information got from Qwest Wholesale Services to Qwest's retailing marketing is disconcerting.

suffers because the transfer was not seamless. Once a customer transfers to a CLEC, anything Qwest does that adversely affects CLEC service to that customer directly harms the CLEC. Under the WinBack Tariff, Qwest is in a position to capitalize on such harm.

Moreover, in light of the WinBack Tariff, the Performance Assurance Plan may be rendered ineffective. Qwest may be willing to suffer a modest penalty for bad wholesale performance if it has the tools to aggressively seek to recapture the CLEC customer that is affected by Qwest's poor wholesale performance. Ultimately, such customer "recapture" eliminate the ability of a CLEC to effectively compete in the market. It also discourages CLEC investment in facilities in Arizona. As a result, the Arizona market is not irreversibly open to competition.

Cox urges the Commission to withhold 271 approval in Arizona until Qwest withdraws its WinBack Tariff.² Until then, the public interest is not served because the nascent competition in Arizona can be quashed through a tariff scheme that basically allows predatory pricing by a monopolist. Qwest can offer services below cost (*i.e.*, for free) with the express intent of taking customers from its competitors. Given its enormous market share and its guaranteed rate of return on other services, Qwest could afford to suffer losses under the WinBack Tariff for a significant length of time – time enough to decimate competition.

² At some point, when Qwest's market share drops to something well below 95-98%, a Qwest WinBack Tariff might be acceptable. Cox does have a winback tariff in Arizona but there is no chance of harm to competition as a result of that tariff given Cox's market share.

Roshka Heyman & DeWulf, PLC

23

COX ARIZONA TELCOM. L.L.C.

Bv:

Michael W. Patten
ROSHKA HEYMAN & DEWULF, PLC
Two Arizona Center
400 North 5th Street, Suite 1000
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
(602) 256-6100
Attorneys for Cox Arizona Telcom, LLC

	1	Matt Rowell Utilities Division	
	2	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	
		1200 West Washington Street	
	3	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
	4	COPIES mailed May 17, 2001, to:	
	5	Richard S. Wolters, Esq.	
	6	AT&T COMMUNICATIONS, INC. OF THE MOUNTAIN STATE 1875 Lawrence Street, Room 1575	
	7	Denver, Colorado 80202	
	8	Joan S. Burke, Esq. OSBORN & MALEDON	
	9	2929 North Central Avenue, Suite 2100 Post Office Box 36379	
		Phoenix, Arizona 85067-6379	
3	10	Counsel for AT&T Communications of the Mountain States; and TCG Phoenix	
0-067-7	11		
FACSIMILE 602-230-080	12	Andrea P. Harris ALLEGIANCE TELECOM, INC.	
FACSI	13	P.O. Box 2610	
		Dublin, California 94568	
	14	Diane Bacon Legislative Director	
	15	COMMUNICATIONS WORKERS OF AMERICA	
	16	5818 North 7th Street, Suite 206 Phoenix, Arizona 85014-5811	
	17	K. Megan Doberneck, Esq. COVAD COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY	
	18	7901 Lowry Boulevard	
	19	Denver, Colorado 82030	
	20	Nigel Bates ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE, INC.	
		4400 N.E. 77th Avenue Vancouver, Washington 98662	
	21	Tullood for, Tradimise Trade	
	22		

	11	
	1	Karen L. Clauson
	2	ESCHELON TELECOM, INC. 730 2nd Avenue South, Suite 120
		Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
	3	Michael M. Grant, Esq.
	4	Todd C. Wiley, Esq. GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P.A.
	5	2575 East Camelback Road
		Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225 Counsel for Electric Lightwave, Inc.
	6	Counsel for Electric Lightwave, Inc.
	7	Mark N. Rogers EXCELL AGENT SERVICES, L.L.C.
	8	2175 West 14th Street
	o	Tempe, Arizona 85281
	9	Gena Doyscher
	10	GLOBAL CROSSING LOCAL SERVICES, INC. 1221 Nicollet Mall
	11	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55403-2420
1	11	Thomas F. Dixon
THE STREET	12	MCI WorldCom, Inc.
ć	13	707 17th Street, Suite 3900 Denver, Colorado 80202
	14	Thomas H. Campbell, Esq.
	17	Lewis & Roca L.L.P.
	15	40 North Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85004
	16	Counsel for MCI WorldCom, Inc.; and
	17	Rhythms Links fka ACI Corp.
	17	Daniel Waggoner, Esq.
	18	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE 2600 Century Square
	19	1501 Fourth Avenue
	20	Seattle, Washington 98101-1688 Counsel for NEXTLINK Arizona, Inc.
	20	
	21	Douglas H. Hsiao, Esq. RHYTHMS LINKS INC.
	22	6933 South Revere Parkway
		Englewood, Colorado 80112
	23	Counsel for Idiyimina Bund film 1202 30. p.

1	Scott Wakefield, Esq. RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE	
2	2828 North Central Avenue, Suite 1200 Phoenix, Arizona 85004	
3	Stephen H. Kukta, Esq.	
4	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS Co., L.P. 8150 Gateway Drive, 7th Floor	
5	San Mateo, California 94404-2737	
6	Andrew O. Isar Director, Industry Relations	
7	TELECOMMUNICATIONS RESELLERS ASSOCIATION 4312 92nd Avenue, N.W.	
8	Gig Harbor, Washington 98335	
9	Charles Steese, Esq. QWEST CORPORATION	
10	1801 California Street, Suite 5100 Denver, Colorado 80202	
11	Timothy Berg, Esq.	
12	FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. 3033 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600	
13	Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913 Counsel for Qwest Corporation	
14	Mark P. Trinchero, Esq. DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE L.L.P.	
15	1300 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 2300	
16	Portland, Oregon 97201 M. Andrew Andrade	
17	5261 South Quebec Street, Suite 150	
18	Greenwood Village, Colorado 80111 Counsel to TESS Communications, Inc.	
19		
20	Antitrust Division UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	
21	1401 H Street, N.W., Suite 8000 Washington, D.C. 20530	
22	Venin Johnston	

	1	Charles Steese, Esq. QWEST CORPORATION
	2	1801 California Street, Suite 5100 Denver, Colorado 80202
	3	Timothy Berg, Esq.
	4	FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. 3033 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600
	5	Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913 Counsel for Qwest Corporation
	6	Mark P. Trinchero, Esq.
	7	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE L.L.P. 1300 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 2300
	8	Portland, Oregon 97201
	9	M. Andrew Andrade 5261 South Quebec Street, Suite 150
	10	Greenwood Village, Colorado 80111 Counsel to TESS Communications, Inc.
201-700	11	Joyce Hundley, Esq. Antitrust Division
Convier	12	United States Department of Justice 1401 H Street, N.W., Suite 8000
2	13	Washington, D.C. 20530
	14	Denie Of whiston
	15	10
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	

COMPETITIVE EXCHANGE AND NETWORK SERVICES

U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS Price Cap Tariff (C) Arizona

SECTION 5 Index Page 1 Release 3

Issued: 01-08-1999

Effective: 04-01-2001

5. EXCHANGE SERVICES

SUBJECT	PAGE	
Business Competitive Response Program	2	(N)
Competitive Response	1	(N)
INTRACALL Service	7	
Local Exchange Services	1	
Premium Exchange Services	7	
Residence Competitive Response Program	1	(N)

US WEST COMMUNICATIONS

COMPETITIVE EXCHANGE AND NETWORK SERVICES

Price Cap Tariff (C) Arizona

SECTION 5
Page 1
Release 3

Issued: 01-08-1999

Effective: 04-01-2001

5. EXCHANGE SERVICES

5.2 LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE

(N)

5.2.11 COMPETITIVE RESPONSE

A. Residence Competitive Response Program

1. Description

The Residence Competitive Response Program is an offering to residence customers who have left U S WEST for another telecommunications provider, for their local exchange service and/or their intraLATA toll service, and are now returning.

U S WEST will offer incentives to customers who return for their telecommunications needs.

2. Terms and Conditions

- a. This competitive response offering will only be offered to customers returning to U S WEST from a competing telecommunications provider.
- b. Residence customers' return to U S WEST intraLATA toll is regarded separately from their return to U S WEST local exchange service.
- c. Residence customers will receive the waivers only on their initial return to US WEST for their local exchange service.
- d. Periods and provisions of this offer will be determined by U S WEST.
- e. U S WEST reserves the right to discontinue this offer, without further proceedings or approvals, upon 14 days notice to the Arizona Corporation Commission.

3. Rates and Charges

- a. Customers returning to U S WEST for their local exchange service will receive either a waiver of the current nonrecurring charge, up to two months of recurring rates or both, on selected services determined by the Company. Amounts and types of the waivers will vary. In addition, customers may be eligible for waivers of intraLATA MTS charges.
- b. Total local exchange service charges waived will not exceed \$100.00 per customer location.
- c. Customers returning to U S WEST for intraLATA toll service will receive waivers not to exceed \$50.00 per customer per year.

US WEST COMMUNICATIONS

COMPETITIVE EXCHANGE AND NETWORK SERVICES

Price Cap Tariff (C) Arizona

SECTION 5 Page 2 Release 2

=

Issued: 01-08-1999

Effective: 04-01-2001

5. EXCHANGE SERVICES

5.2 LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE 5.2.11 COMPETITIVE RESPONSE (Cont'd)

(N)

B. Business Competitive Response Program

1. Description

The Business Competitive Response Program is an offering to business customers who have terminated or canceled all or part of their U S WEST services and established service with another telecommunications provider, and such business customers are reestablishing some material part of their services with U S WEST.

In accordance with the terms of this Business Competitive Response Program, U S WEST may offer incentive(s) to such returning business customers.

2. Terms and Conditions

- a. The Business Competitive Response Program may be offered only to business customers returning to USWEST from a competing telecommunications provider.
- b. The Company may offer returning business customers incentives in the form of a credit on the business customer's bill after the business customer actually reestablishes the agreed upon service with U S WEST.
- c. Business customers may not obtain the incentive(s) or any credits after their first or initial return to U S WEST for which incentive credit(s) have been provided.
- d. Business customers may receive the incentive credit(s) only in connection with services that are reestablished or established upon the initial return to US WEST.
- e. Business customers' return to U S WEST intraLATA toll is regarded separately from their return to U S WEST local exchange service.
- f. On contractual services, business customers are required to sign a contract in order to receive a waiver.

U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS

COMPETITIVE EXCHANGE AND NETWORK SERVICES

Price Cap Tariff (C) Arizona

SECTION 5 Page 3 Release 2[1]

<u>:=</u>

Issued: 01-08-1999

Effective: 04-01-2001

5. EXCHANGE SERVICES

(N)

5.2 LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE

5.2.11 COMPETITIVE RESPONSE

B.2. (Cont'd)

- g. Business customers who receive the Competitive Response Program credit(s) are required to remain with U S WEST for a minimum of one year or be billed all of the nonrecurring charge(s) and monthly rate(s) waived.
- h. US WEST reserves the right to discontinue this offer, without further proceedings or approvals, upon 14 days notice to the Arizona Corporation Commission.
- i. Returning business customers are required to have a satisfactory credit rating with U S WEST in accordance with 2.3.3.
- j. U S WEST shall use reasonable business efforts so that similarly situated customers are offered similar incentive credits in similar circumstances.
- k. The Business Competitive Response Program is a competitive response only and is not available for resale.

3. Rates and Charges

- a. Returning business customers receive a maximum of either a waiver of the current nonrecurring charge(s), or up to two months of the current monthly rate(s), or both, on selected services as determined by U S WEST. In addition, returning business customers may be provided waivers of intraLATA MTS charges.
- b. Incentive amounts are calculated on the first month's nonrecurring charge(s) and monthly rate(s). The total credit amount will not exceed the total nonrecurring charge(s) plus two months service of the monthly rate(s).

[1] Pages 4 through 6 were previously cancelled.

Is your phone service running on empty?

Empty/promises, that is.

Qwest.

By now you may have discovered your new phone service isn't turning out to be all that was promised. Maybe it's time to come back to Owest where you get lots of choices with specially priced packages. We're making it worth your while to come back right now by waiving the installation charge.

You'll be assured of reliable phone service. You'll have the most advanced teatules at a value price. And you'll have great choices like Total Package^{IM}—your home phone, 1300 wireless minutes and Internet access—at one great price. Who else gives you so much for so little?

- Wireless service that uses the same phone number as your home phone.
 - Voice messaging service with a single voice mailbox for both your home and wireless phones.
 - · Internet services that get you on-line faster and more reliably.

Come back to Qwest and we'll make it free and easy to return.

Call Today! 1-800-242-5035

Offer valid for addressee only. Offer is a waiver of non-recurring charges for standard installation; retail value varies by state. One waiver per customer. Not all services available in all areas. Other limitations may apply. © 2001 Owest Communications international inc. AZ, CO, IA, MN, NE, OR, ND, WA, WY

Q West.

5090 N. 40th Street Phoenix, Arizona 85018

FIRST-C FIRST-C U.S. P P DEW

PRESORTED FIRST-CLASS MAIL U.S. POSTAGE PAID DENVER, CO PERMIT # 3570 Brad

N 61st Ave Glendale AZ 85308 Wilmbalm Warden Malabalaha William Warden Markan Ma